

Members' Representation.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelgola).—Sir, I have to raise the issue that the Hon'ble Chief Minister had made a statement regarding the food policy outside the House, while the House is discussing.....

Statement made by Chief Minister outside the House. Chair's ruling.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This was mentioned yesterday and I said that in order to allay the fears of the vast public who were also outside the House, it was made. If it has been done, I do not think there is anything wrong in it.

† **Sri S. SIVAPPA.**—Sir, it has been a well-established parliamentary convention. Even in the Parliament, the Hon'ble Prime Minister had expressed regret for having made a statement outside while the Parliament was in session. This is an important thing we are discussing. I requested the Chief Minister. But he has revealed some facts outside which he discussed at the Chief Ministers' Conference at Delhi. That is really treating this House with contempt. This august House should have the first opportunity of hearing from the Chief Minister about policy matters which he has revealed in a statement in the officers' meeting, regarding fixation of prices, regarding what transpired in the Chief Ministers' Conference at Delhi, etc.,. That should have been revealed to the House first, instead of revealing it outside. The Chief Minister should take the House into confidence whatever important policy matters are to be revealed. It must be the first duty of the Chief Minister to reveal and take this House into confidence and this House should have the first opportunity of hearing the policy matters. I know there is no hard and fast rule, but the convention is, that the Chief Minister should have taken this House into confidence and made the statement in the House. I feel that the Hon'ble Speaker should direct the Chief Minister that whenever he makes such a statement, such a statement should be made on the floor of the House first and then outside.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶಿವಪ್ಪನವರೇನು ವಿಷಯ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಮಾಡಿರುವ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ಒಂದೆರಡು ಮಾತನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ದಿವಸಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ನಾನೊಂದು ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಅದರ ವಿಷಯ ಬಹಳ ಗಂಭೀರವಾದದ್ದು, ಕಳವಳಕಾರಕ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಿದೆ, ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಅದರ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೆ. ಮೊನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ಅದರ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿದಾಗ ಒಂದು ದಿವಸ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡೋಣ, ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ದೆಹಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿಬರಲ ಎಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಈ ದಿವಸ ಸಭೆ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯೇನಿದೆ ಎಂದು ವಿವರಣೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಲೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ, ಈ ಸಭೆ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೂ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲು ವಿಷಯ ತಿಳಿಸದೆ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಮೊದಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ರಿಫ್ಲೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಆಗುವುದು, ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಹೊರಗಡೆಯ ವಿದ್ಯಮಾನದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವೇ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಅವರವಾಡಿಗೆ ಅವರು ಏನೋ ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಜನರು ಅಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ದೆಹಲಿಯಿಂದ ಬಂದಕೂಡಲೆ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಮೊದಲು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಗೌರವವನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿದಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವನ್ನೂ ಪಾಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿದಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಯಾವತ್ತೋ ಒಂದು ದಿವಸ ನಮಗೆ ಅಭಾವ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ನಾವು ಬಾಯಿ ಮುಚ್ಚಿಕೊಂಡು ಕೂಡಬೇಕಾದ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಇರುವುದು

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ)

ನರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳವರಿಗೆ ವೈಯಕ್ತಿಕವಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಮೊದಲು ಕೊಟ್ಟುಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ ಅಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಸಭೆ ತನ್ನ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಬಿಟ್ಟವೆಂದು ಜನರು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon'ble member has mentioned so many things in raising a point. Does he mean to say that the Speaker stood in the way? Let him make the position very clear. In the last two sentences he seems to suggest that the Speaker stood in the way, and prevented what he wanted to achieve. Is that his idea?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗುವುದು ನಿಧಾನವಾದುದರಿಂದ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಅವಕಾಶವಾಯಿತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why drag in the Speaker. If he feels so, let him say it plainly. If he does not feel so, he should not say it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—When the point was raised, the Chair has pleased to observe that the Business Advisory Committee should go into the problem.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Did it not do it?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—It is his intention.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the member has anything to say he may say it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—On some other occasion, the Chair has pleased to observe that Government made a statement when the Assembly was not in session, as he made it a day or two before the starting of the session. The Chair gave a ruling to that effect. Now this has happened under different circumstances. The Assembly is already in session. The Hon'ble Chief Minister made a promise to the Assembly. He went to Delhi. He discussed so many things with the Prime Minister and all that. Now after his arrival, why should he rush to the Press before coming to this House and making a statement as to what happened in Delhi? That is the point raised by my friend. Courtesy to the Assembly requires that he should not have rushed to the Press before making a statement here. I request the Hon'ble Chair just to observe whether the attitude of the Government or the Chairman is in order.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I take it as a point of order.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Yes, Sir.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Now the discussion of the food debate in the House becomes superfluous. Since what the Government have to say they have already stated in a statement issued by them. And now, here, it will be a mere formality.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—What is the statement the Hon'ble Member is taking exception to?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I want the Hon'ble Chief Minister to take this House into confidence especially when we are seized of the situation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What was this statement made, which is so much talked of? On what date was it made?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—When the discussion was going on the same, he has made a statement.